

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/045,837 | GURVICH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Dean O Takaoka | Art Unit 2817 | |

All Participants:(1) Dean O Takaoka.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) Paul B. Heynssens.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2003**Time:** 3:30p**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated July 28, 2003, it was noted that claim 13 was dependent from now cancelled claim 11. The prior office action indicated that the subject matter of claim 13 was allowable thus the Applicant requested the subject matter of claims 11 and 13 combined to make newly independent claim 13. The Examiner advised this would be done by Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. .